Eichmann and the Final Solution

We have been told endlessly that Hitler murdered six million Jews in his gas chambers. The figure is grossly exaggerated. The Jews have so little respect for those who actually did die, that they trade shamelessly on their dead for sordid financial gain. The Federal Republic of Germany has paid indemnities for the deaths of fictitious Jews who never even existed. The Courts of Germany have been filled with the perjury of the Jews for twenty years. The prostituted daily press constantly dangles that figure before us: "SIX MILLION JEWS." Little is said about the TEN MILLION GENTILES who were murdered in Russia during the Jewish Revolution of 1917. Leon Trotsky, a New Yorker, was the murderer-in-chief, the leader of the Jewish gangsters who murdered ten million Gentiles in Russia. The Jewish press never condemns him. It is a sad thing, of course, that we have come to an age of mass murder, but since we obviously are living in just such an age, it is a good thing to inquire into the causes of these evil things.

Let us study the Eichmann trial in Jerusalem. The Jews, as all know, are experts at show business. They have long monopolized Hollywood, the Broadway theater, TV, Radio, book publishing, and the magazines. They have driven out the Gentile writers, producers and actors. Not only that; they have driven away even the Gentile audiences, so that only the Jews really have any apparatus of cultural communication in America. The Eichmann trial in Jerusalem was a typical Jewish show, scripted and acted out like a one-hour TV spectacular telling us how to forget majority rule and surrender to the minority.

Let us see how skillfully the Jews staged this show in Jerusalem.

They chose their star carefully. Why did they choose Eichmann? They might easily have caught themselves another Nazi biggie. They might, for example, have chosen Martin Bormann. They knew perfectly well where Bormann was. The Jewish Secret Service spans the world. They know everything they need to know. Why did the Jews not choose Bormann instead of Eichmann?

Bormann wasn't the right type. He would have been miscast in the role. Bormann is a big man, a fierce man, a brave, smart man. He would not have stuck to the script of the show. For their show in Jerusalem the Jews needed a little man. Eichmann was exactly what he claimed to be during the entire trial: He was a little man, a mere cog in the Nazi machine, a man who obeyed orders and asked no questions and decided no policies. Eichmann, the little man, submitted tamely to the uses which the Jews made of him. mann, the big man, would not have done this. He would have known instantly that he was being railroaded, not given a trial. He would have defied the Jews; he would have denied their right to try him at all; he would have refused to make the jejune kind of defense which Eichmann made: he would have refused the services of a Jewish defense attorney. Worst of all, he would have told in public, before the press of the world, exactly why the Germans wanted to get rid of the

Those reasons run deep in the history of Europe. Let us have a look at them.

Nearly everybody in Germany hated the Jews. Hitler did not create this hatred. It was already growing in Europe before Hitler was born. To the European, it always seemed that the Jews had no talents except the talent for attaching money to their fingers. The Jew was an Oriental; even if the behavior of the Jews had been as exemplary as they like to claim it was, there would have been clashes between the Europeans and the Jews. The cultural chasm which yawned between them was enormous. Still the clash between Jewish and European culture might have remained on a desultory basis, with only occassional outbreaks, except for one thing.

That one thing was the invention of Marxism

Marxism threw the egg into the fan. Marxism sealed forever the doom of the European

JAILED FOR SEEKING PEACE



RUDOLPH HESS

The loyal follower of Hitler and No. 3 man in the Nazi regime who flew into Scotland on May 9, 1941, to seek peace with Britain before hostilities started with the Soviet Union. Together, Britain and Germany would attack Russia. Hess was ignored and snubbed by Churchill. Britain lost a golden opportunity to shorten the war, save countless lives, end victoriously and abolish Communism forever. For his trouble, Hess was incarcerated and still languishes in prison 25 years later. A further example of Talmudic justice and Zionist vengeance against Germany.

Jews. After Marxism, the Jews can never again live peacefully in Europe. Many people say that the Jews invented Marxism, I do not believe it. Mortal man could never have invented a philosophy so diabolical as Marxism. It has to be an invention of the fallen angels; it is the invention of Lucifer, the fallen son of the morning. Mortal man has hardly ever fully understood it. We can see its effects, but not its basic purposes. Recently in Indonesia there was an outbreak of violence over Marxism. Three hundred thousand people were killed. None of those people really knew anything about Marxism. A mysterious, diabolical force appeared on the scene, and after it passed, three hundred thousand people lay dead.

The Jews themselves hardly understand their connection with Marxism. During the 1930's, around Columbia University, Whittaker Chambers found himself surrounded by Yiddish voices yapping about Marxism. The New York Times Book Review Section recently published a glowing editorial entitled THE SECOND COMING OF MARX. The Jews really know that Marxism is dead today, but they are not willing to give it up. They understand it as little as the dope addict understands addiction. Few dope addicts really understand addiction; few Jews really understand Marxism. Yet it is the monkey which is perpetually on their backs.

Let us see how Marxism worked in Western Europe. Western Europe, not Russia, is the real homeland of Marxism, the place where Marx and Engels wrote and plotted.

When I went to Europe as a naive youngster in the 1930's, I wanted to see the Marxist leaders. I had read about them in **The Nation** and **The New Republic.** Perhaps I did not expect to find them dressed in overalls. It was permissible for a Communist leader to wear a business suit and tie. I did expect, however, that they would have a way of life suitable for the leaders of the horny-handed toilers. I was amazed at what I actually found.

I found myself staring into the filthiest cesspool of all history.

Our American anti-Communists, who have been yapping about Marxism for forty years without taking the trouble to learn anything about it, often tell us how "fanatic" and "dedicated" the Communists are. I saw a different story entirely. I saw the fat, rich Marxists going down to the Rothschild bank to cash the fat checks they got for selling out the workers of Europe. The Marxist labor unions of Europe specialized in sweetheart contracts. Coal miners earned a dollar and a half for a ten-hour day. The fat and complacent European capitalists were not worried

about Marxism. They loved it madly. It kept the working class "in line." To be sure, there was talk about the "Revolution." But the "Revolution" was always scheduled for tomorrow, and any child knows that "Tomorrow never comes," because when it does come it is today. The Revolution was always kept in some vague "Tomorrow" which of course never came. For nearly a hundred years every little town in Germany or France had a Marxist mayor. The Marxists held majorities in many European parliaments long before the First World War. If they had wanted a revolution, they would have HAD a revolution. They preferred the handouts they got from the banks. European capitalists early bought control of "The Revolution" and cynically considered it one of the best investments they had ever made.

Perhaps it was, up to a point. There came a day when the little people of Europe got fed up with it. They became cynical, wildly angry, and really rebellious. They had lived for nearly a hundred years on false promises of a Marxist "Revolution" which never came. The Marxist leaders of Europe never paid off on their promises and, like any other long-unpaid creditors, the common people became impatient. They jolly well wanted to see a revolution, here and now, and no fooling. A little man named Adolf gave them their revolution.

I met Americans in Europe in the 1930's who thought that Hitler was some sort of conservative. For the love of God! We Americans are always poorly informed about these things. Hitler was the wildest revolutionary ever to see the daylight. He gave the little people of Europe the revolution which the Marxists had promised but failed to deliver. The hatred which Hitler radiated was amazing. It was the stored-up hatred of all Europe for a hundred years, the hatred of the oppressed and defrauded worker; the hatred of the ruined small business men; the hatred of the millions. It was a pure, double-distilled vitriol which Hitler, an amazingly good orator, expressed to perfection. When I went to the Sportsplatz in Berlin to hear him speak, the effect was hypnotic even upon me, an outsider with no real personal involvement.

Europe was fully ready for Hitler. Europe welcomed Hitler, served herself up to Hitler on a platter, richly and fully deserved Hitler. If Hitler had confined himself to Western Europe, he would have been completely successful. His big mistake was invading Russia. He thought that Russia was a Marxist nation; indeed, the Jews had tried to make it a Marxist nation. But Russia is exactly what Spengler said it is: A nation in the "Eternal Springtime" of its own native culture-cycle. Russia is still Russia, and as dangerous as a buzz saw. The West, for its own good, ought to have kept out of Russia. Napoleon should have kept out of Russia; the Jewish bankers who fomented the October Revolution should have kept out of Russia; Hitler should have kept out of Russia. It is not merely because they got defeated; they simply had no business being there. Russia is another world, and should keep out of the West. Saying the word "should" in a case like this is a waste of time. Human cupidity being what it is, Russia will try to take over the West, just as the West has tried to take over Russia. The important thing to understand is that Russia is NOT a Marxist nation, never has been, and never

Marxism reached the peak of its perfection not in Russia, but in Western Europe. True, there were no successful Communist revolutions in Europe. Marxism is not a matter of successful revolutions. The "Revolution" is always supposed to fail, not to succeed. The Marxist labor leader is like the Judas Ram in the slaughterhouse. His job is to lead the sheep to the slaughter. Just so, the business of the Marxist labor leader is to lead working class hopes to defeat.

The "Revolution" in Russia was not supposed to succeed. It was supposed to FAIL within about six or eight years. THEN the Jewish bankers and their stooge politicians

(Continued on Page 3)